

TRANSCRIPT PREPARED BY THE CLERK OF THE LEGISLATURE
Transcriber's Office
FLOOR DEBATE

March 8, 2006

LB 542

SENATOR CHAMBERS: Okay. And those people have not committed crimes, correct?

SENATOR BURLING: That's true.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: Okay. Then why should they not be considered for appointment to this board, simply because they're good, sharp entrepreneurs?

SENATOR BURLING: They could be considered by the person making the appointments without putting this amendment on there.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: If the amendment was put on, if it was put on, who would it keep from being appointed who could currently be appointed?

SENATOR BURLING: Probably nobody.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: Right. So what's wrong with it?

SENATOR BURLING: It just doesn't need to be there.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: Are you against businesspersons being sharp?

SENATOR BURLING: No.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: Are you against them getting as much for their products as they can?

SENATOR BURLING: No.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: You've heard the statement, all the traffic will bear, haven't you?

SENATOR BURLING: Yes.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: And that means charging whatever you can get people to pay for what it is you're selling. Isn't that generally what that statement means?

SENATOR BURLING: I think so.